



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,572	12/21/2000	Scott D. Wollenweber	390086.94596 2026		
75	90 12/01/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael A. Jas	skolski		DESIRE, GREGORY M		
Quarles and Brady LLP 411 East Wisconsin Ave			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Milwaukee, W			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ali-stion No	Applicant(s)			
	Application No.	WOLLENWEBER, SCOTT D.			
Advisory Action	09/745,572	Art Unit	I .		
	Examiner  Cramer M. Desire	2625			
	Gregory M. Desire		lross -		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	yold abandonment of this application that application at the second and the second abandon the second abando	ch places the appli	cation in		
-	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in		
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant'</li> <li>CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	's Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	ed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been con ——·	sidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ $a)igotimes$ will not be entered or levould be rejected is provided be	o)∏ will be entered low or appended.	d and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<del>:</del>				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.	a ·		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	SUPE	VESH WILL MEH	(North Action 1978)		
	TE	CHNOLOGY CENTER	البائدون		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The additional limitations of table segment diverges downward and newly added claims 22 require further search and limitation by the examiner.

> BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600